

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/741,734	12/19/2000	Dianna I. Tiliks	8285/375	5600
7590 12/09/2005		EXAMINER		
Joseph F. Hetz			MILLER, BRANDON J	
Brinks Hofer Gi	lson & Lione			
NBC Tower, Suite 3600			. ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
P.O. Box 10395			2683	
Chicago, IL 60610			DATE MAILED: 12/09/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		09/741,734	TILIKS ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Brandon J. Miller	2683			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
WHI(- Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 Se	eptember 2005.				
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or					
Applicat	ion Papers					
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on <u>08 June 2001</u> is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	☑ accepted or b)☐ objected to drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). sected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)[a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priorical application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attachmen		». □				
2) 🔲 Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da				
3) 🛛 Inforr	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal P	atent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 2683

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over O'Neil in view of Emery.

Regarding claim 1 O'Neil teaches a method for dual ringing of a wireline and a wireless extension of the wireline using an advanced intelligent telecommunication network with a service switching point and a service node (see col. 20, lines 48-55). O'Neil teaches receiving and routing a call from a service switching point to a service node coupled with the service switching point (see col. 20, lines 15-20). O'Neil teaches a service node, initiating a first call to a wireless communication device associated with a wireline and a second call to a wireline (see col. 21, lines 26-34). O'Neil does not specifically mention the wireline being a Centrex line and wherein a wireless extension of the Centrex line provides extension dialing when the wireless extension of the Centrex line is within a limited service area defined for a Centrex customer premises area. O'Neil does teach allowing for the interconnection of the PSTN and a wireless network so that a call from a wire line unit may be connected to a wireless unit such a mobile telephone (see col. 13, lines 48-56). Emery teaches a wire line being a Centrex line and wherein a wireless extension of the Centrex line provides extension dialing when the wireless extension

Art Unit: 2683

of the Centrex line is within a limited service area defined for a Centrex customer premises area (see col. 9, lines 57-67 and col. 25, lines 6-19 & 33-38). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make the device in O'Neil adapt to include a Centrex line and wherein a wireless extension of the Centrex line provides extension dialing when the wireless extension of the Centrex line is within a limited service area defined for a Centrex customer premises area because O'Neil allows for the interconnection of two communication systems so that a wireline may be connected to a wireless unit and this would allow for an improved method of call completion using an existing telecommunications network.

Regarding claim 2 O'Neil teaches in response to either a first or second call being answered, dropping the other call (see col. 4, lines 28-39).

Regarding claim 3 O'Neil teaches if neither the first nor second call is answered within a time period, routing the call to a voicemail system associated with the line (see col. 29, lines 31-40).

Regarding claim 4 O'Neil teaches determining whether the wireless communication device is available, and wherein initiating a call is performed only if the wireless communication device is available (see col. 33, lines 57-67 and col. 34, lines 1-6, 23-28 & 35-42).

Regarding claim 5 O'Neil teaches a method for dual ringing of a wireline and a wireless extension of the wireline using an advanced intelligent telecommunication network with a service switching point and a service node (see col. 20, lines 48-55). O'Neil teaches receiving and routing a call from a service switching point to a service node coupled with the service switching point (see col. 20, lines 15-20). O'Neil teaches a service node, initiating a first call to a wireless communication device associated with a wireline and a second call to a wireline (see

Art Unit: 2683

col. 21, lines 26-34). O'Neil teaches suspending processing of a call and launching a query to a service control point coupled to the SSP (see col. 6, lines 7-15). O'Neil teaches launching a routing message instructing the service switching point to route a call to a service node coupled with the service switching point (see col. 6, lines 20-28). O'Neil does not specifically mention the wire line being a Centrex line and wherein a wireless extension of the Centrex line provides extension dialing when the wireless extension of the Centrex line is within a limited service area defined for a Centrex customer premises area. O'Neil does teach allowing for the interconnection of the PSTN and a wireless network so that a call from a wire line unit may be connected to a wireless unit such a mobile telephone (see col. 13, lines 48-56). Emery teaches a wire line being a Centrex line and wherein a wireless extension of the Centrex line provides extension dialing when the wireless extension of the Centrex line is within a limited service area defined for a Centrex customer premises area (see col. 9, lines 57-67 and col. 25, lines 6-19 & 33-38). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make the device in O'Neil adapt to include the wire line being a Centrex line and wherein a wireless extension of the Centrex line provides extension dialing when the wireless extension of the Centrex line is within a limited service area defined for a Centrex customer premises area because O'Neil allows for the interconnection of two communication systems so that a wireline may be connected to a wireless unit and this would allow for an improved method of call completion using an existing telecommunications network.

Regarding claim 6 O'Neil teaches a device as recited in claim 2 and is rejected given the same reasoning as above.

Art Unit: 2683

Regarding claim 7 O'Neil teaches a device as recited in claim 3 and is rejected given the same reasoning as above.

Regarding claim 8 O'Neil and Emery teach a device as recited in claim 5 except for if the wireless communication device associated with the Centrex line is not available: launching a transmit message from the SCP to the SSP instructing the SSP to transmit the call to the Centrex line; and transmitting the call from the SSP to the Centrex line. O'Neil does teach if a wireless communication device associated with a wireline is not available launching a transmit message (see col. 34, lines 37-42). Emery teaches using a Centrex line to facilitate switching control (see col. 10, lines 60-67 and col. 11, lines 1-3). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make the device adapt to include if the wireless communication device associated with the Centrex line is not available: launching a transmit message from the SCP to the SSP instructing the SSP to transmit the call to the Centrex line; and transmitting the call from the SSP to the Centrex line because this would allow for an improved method of call completion using an existing telecommunications network.

Regarding claim 9 O'Neil teaches a destination number assigned to a subscriber line (see col. 7-14).

Regarding claim 10 O'Neil teaches detecting a terminating attempt trigger (see col. 4, lines 31-39).

Regarding claim 11 O'Neil teaches determining whether a dual ringing service is enabled (see col. 20, lines 15-19 & 49-52).

Regarding claim 12 O'Neil teaches a wireless communication device that is part of a wireless network (see col. 13, lines 30-38). O'Neil teaches sending a request for availability

Art Unit: 2683

information of a wireless communication device from the service control point the wireless network (see col. 6, lines 15-22).

Regarding claim 13 O'Neil teaches sending a request for availability information of the wireless communication device from the service control point to a home location register in a wireless network and send availability information from the HLR to the service control point (see col. 6. lines 15-28).

Regarding claim 14 O'Neil teaches simultaneously initiating the first and second calls (see col. 20, lines 50-53).

Regarding claim 15 O'Neil teaches a method for dual ringing of a wireline and a wireless extension of the wireline using an advanced intelligent telecommunication network with a service switching point and a service node (see col. 20, lines 48-55). O'Neil teaches receiving and routing a call from the service switching point to a service node coupled with the service switching point (see col. 20, lines 15-20). O'Neil teaches a service node, initiating a first call to a wireless communication device associated with a wireline and a second call to a wireline (see col. 21, lines 26-34). O'Neil teaches suspending processing of a call and launching a query to a service control point coupled to the SSP (see col. 6, lines 7-15). O'Neil teaches the SCP operative to receive a query and determine whether a wireless communication device is available (see col. 6, lines 13-28). O'Neil does not specifically mention the wire line being a Centrex line and wherein a wireless extension of the Centrex line provides extension dialing when the wireless extension of the Centrex line is within a limited service area defined for a Centrex customer premises area. O'Neil does teach allowing for the interconnection of the PSTN and a wireless network so that a call from a wire line unit may be connected to a wireless unit such a

Art Unit: 2683

mobile telephone (see col. 13, lines 48-56). Emery teaches a wireline being a Centrex line and wherein a wireless extension of the Centrex line provides extension dialing when the wireless extension of the Centrex line is within a limited service area defined for a Centrex customer premises area (see col. 9, lines 57-67 and col. 25, lines 6-19 & 33-38). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make the device in O'Neil adapt to include the wire line being a Centrex line and wherein a wireless extension of the Centrex line provides extension dialing when the wireless extension of the Centrex line is within a limited service area defined for a Centrex customer premises area because O'Neil allows for the interconnection of two communication systems so that a wireline may be connected to a wireless unit and this would allow for an improved method of call completion using an existing telecommunications network.

Regarding claim 16 O'Neil teaches a device as recited in claim 2 and is rejected given the same reasoning as above.

Regarding claim 17 O'Neil teaches a home location register (HLR) coupled with the SCP, wherein the SCP is further operative to determine whether the wireless communication device is available by sending a request for availability information of the wireless communication device to the HLR (see col. 6, lines 7-28).

Regarding claim 18 O'Neil teaches a method for dual ringing of a wireline and a wireless extension of the wireline using an advanced intelligent telecommunication network with a service switching point and a service node (see col. 20, lines 48-55). O'Neil teaches receiving and routing a call from the service switching point to a service node coupled with the service switching point (see col. 20, lines 15-20). O'Neil teaches a service node, initiating a call to a

Art Unit: 2683

wireline with a network element separate from the switch (see col. 21, lines 26-34). O'Neil teaches initiating a call to a wireless communication device with a network element separate from the switch (see col. 5, lines 1-15 and col. 21, lines 26-34). O'Neil does not specifically mention the wire line being a Centrex line and wherein a wireless extension of the Centrex line provides extension dialing when the wireless extension of the Centrex line is within a limited service area defined for a Centrex customer premises area. O'Neil does teach allowing for the interconnection of the PSTN and a wireless network so that a call from a wire line unit may be connected to a wireless unit such a mobile telephone (see col. 13, lines 48-56). Emery teaches a wire line being a Centrex line and wherein a wireless extension of the Centrex line provides extension dialing when the wireless extension of the Centrex line is within a limited service area defined for a Centrex customer premises area (see col. 9, lines 57-67 and col. 25, lines 6-19 & 33-38). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make the device in O'Neil adapt to include the wireline being a Centrex line and wherein a wireless extension of the Centrex line provides extension dialing when the wireless extension of the Centrex line is within a limited service area defined for a Centrex customer premises area because O'Neil allows for the interconnection of two communication systems so that a wireline may be connected to a wireless unit and this would allow for an improved method of call completion using an existing telecommunications network.

Regarding claim 19 O'Neil teaches a device as recited in claim 4 and is rejected given the same reasoning as above.

Regarding claim 20 O'Neil teaches a device as recited in claim 14 and is rejected given the same reasoning as above.

Art Unit: 2683

Regarding claim 21 O'Neil teaches a network element that is a service node (see col. 12, lines 48-50).

Regarding claim 22 O'Neil teaches a device as recited in claim 2 and is rejected given the same reasoning as above.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-22 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Art Unit: 2683

Roberts U.S Patent No. 6,208,854 discloses a system and method for routing a call to a called party's landline or wireless communication unit.

Ganesan U.S Patent No. 5,812,951 discloses a wireless person communication system.

Fuller U.S Patent No. 6,411,682 discloses computer controlled paging and telephone communication system and method.

Gallant U.S. 6,259,782 discloses a one-number communications system and service integrating wireline/wireless telephone communications systems.

Sahala U.S. 6,751,308 discloses a signaling method and network element for a virtual private network.

Fuller et al. U.S 6,411,682 discloses a computer controlled paging and telephone communication system and method.

Sladek et al. U.S 6,622,016 discloses a system for controlled provisioning of telecommunications services.

Chow et al. U.S 6,654,615 discloses wireless Centrex services.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brandon J. Miller whose telephone number is 571-272-7869. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. 8:00 am to 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, William Trost can be reached on 571-272-7872. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 09/741,734 Page 11

Art Unit: 2683

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact/the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

December 5, 2005

WILLIAM TROST SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600